Nitrate and Nitrite Reduction by Fe⁰: Influence of Mass Transport, Temperature, and Denitrifying Microbes

Jennifer L. Ginner,¹ Pedro J.J. Alvarez, Sharon L. Smith, and Michelle M. Scherer^{*}

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering The University of Iowa Iowa City, IA 52242-1527

ABSTRACT

To evaluate how mass transport, temperature, and denitrifying micro-organisms affect the relative rates of nitrate and nitrite reduction by iron metal (Fe⁰), nitrate and nitrite reduction rates were measured over a range of mixing rates and temperatures. The effect of mixing rate was studied at a polished Fe⁰ rotating disk electrode (RDE) in an electrochemical cell, and the effect of temperature was studied in batch reactors with granular Fe⁰ in the absence and presence of *Paracoccus denitrificans*. Electrode rotation rate had little influence on the cathodic current measured in the presence of nitrate, whereas higher rotation rates resulted in significant increases in current in the presence of nitrite. The heterogeneous reaction rate coefficient (k_{rxn}) for nitrite reduction at the Fe⁰ RDE is several orders of magnitude faster than the surface-area normalized rate coefficient (k_{SA}) for nitrite reduction by granular Fe⁰. Activation energies for nitrate and nitrite reduction by granular Fe⁰ were similar (21.7 \pm 9.3 kJ mol⁻¹ for nitrate and 23.8 \pm 1.8 kJ mol⁻¹ for nitrite). Addition of P. denitrificans to reactors containing Fe⁰ resulted in faster nitrate removal compared to treatments with Fe⁰ alone at all temperatures tested (5 to 50° C). Nitrite removal rates measured in both batch reactors and the electrochemical cell were typically 1.5 to 15 times faster than those measured for nitrite, depending on the electrode rotation rate, pH, temperature, and presence of microbes. Results from a simple first-order kinetic model based on sequential reduction of nitrate \rightarrow nitrite \rightarrow ammonium suggest that differences in the relative rates of nitrate and nitrite reduction may explain why nitrite appears as an intermediate product from nitrate reduction in some studies and not in others.

Key words: iron metal; zero-valent iron; nutrients; rotating disk electrode; reactive barrier; denitrifiers

INTRODUCTION

TN A RECENT SURVEY OF 1,255 drinking-water wells and 242 public supply wells, nitrate was the compound most frequently detected at concentrations exceeding a

regulatory standard [such as U.S. EPA's maximum concentration level (MCL)] or a health advisory (Squillace *et al.*, 2002). Of the 1,497 wells tested (for volatile organic compounds, pesticides, and nitrate), water in 9.4% of the wells exceeded the U.S. EPA's nitrate MCL of 10

¹Present address: Hussey, Gay, Bell & DeYoung, Inc., P.O. Box 14247, Savannah, GA 31416.

^{*}Corresponding author: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242-1527. *Phone*: 319-335-5654; *Fax*: 319-335-5660; *E-mail*: michelle-scherer@uiowa.edu

mg/L as nitrogen (0.71 mM). The high nitrate concentrations are due to the rising use of nitrogenous fertilizers, changes in land-use patterns from pasture to arable, and increased recycling of domestic wastewater in lowland rivers (Kapoor and Viraraghavan, 1997; Nolan and Stoner, 2000). Aquifers exposed to high nitrogen loading and aquifers comprised of well-drained surficial soils over unconsolidated sand and gravels have been shown to be more likely to have nitrate contamination (Nolan *et al.*, 2002).

Numerous physical-chemical and biological processes have been developed for the removal of nitrate from water. Ion exchange, reverse osmosis and biological denitrification are the three most common nitrate removal techniques (Kapoor and Viraraghavan, 1997). A promising alternative treatment technology for nitrate removal is reduction by iron metal (Fe⁰) in permeable reactive barriers (PRBs). Fe⁰ PRBs have been more commonly used for chlorinated solvents and heavy metals (Powell et al., 1998; Scherer et al., 2000; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2002), but the common occurrence of nitrate as a cocontaminant has led to numerous laboratory studies of nitrate reduction by Fe⁰ (Siantar et al., 1996; Cheng et al., 1997; Rahman et al., 1997; C.P. Huang et al., 1998; Till et al., 1998; Zawaideh and Zhang, 1998; Devlin et al., 2000; Kielemoes et al., 2000; Schlicker et al., 2000; Alowitz and Scherer, 2002; X.H. Huang and Zhang, 2002; Miehr et al., 2003; Westerhoff and James, 2003). Nitrate reduction has also been reported in a fieldscale Fe⁰ PRB designed to treat trichloroethene (TCE) (Yabusaki et al., 2001). In batch and column studies, however, the presence of nitrate has been shown to inhibit rates of TCE reduction (Farrell et al., 2000; Schlicker et al., 2000), 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane reduction (Siantar et al., 1996), and arsenic and arsenite removal (Su and Puls, 2001). Inhibition in the presence of nitrate has been attributed to competitive effects, as well as increased buildup of corrosion products.

Most laboratory studies report ammonium (NH₄⁺) as the major product from nitrate reduction (Siantar *et al.*, 1996; Cheng *et al.*, 1997; Rahman *et al.*, 1997; C.P. Huang *et al.*, 1998; Till *et al.*, 1998; Westerhoff and James, 2003; Zawaideh *et al.*, 1998; Devlin *et al.*, 2000; Kielemoes *et al.*, 2000; Miehr *et al.*, 2003; Schlicker *et al.*, 2000; Alowitz and Scherer, 2002; Huang and Zhang, 2002). In large-scale columns packed with Fe⁰, ammonium accounted for most of the influent nitrate at early times; however, at later times, less than 25% of the nitrate could be accounted for by ammonium (Westerhoff and James, 2003). Potential explanations for the poor mass balance at later times included sorption of ammonium or nitrate onto oxides (formed from Fe⁰ corrosion) or loss of ammonium as ammonia gas (due to the high pH in the columns). Adsorption of nitrate on oxide coated Fe^0 has also been inferred based on faster nitrate removal rates observed for oxide coated Fe^0 compared to relatively clean Fe^0 (Miehr *et al.*, 2003) and site saturation effects observed in the presence ferrous iron and nitrate (Huang and Zhang, 2002).

In a few studies, however, nitrite has been observed as an intermediate product from nitrate reduction (Siantar *et al.*, 1996; Rahman *et al.*, 1997; Su and Puls, 2001). The appearance of nitrite as an intermediate product is significant because of the concerns about health effects associated with nitrite (Fan and Steinberg, 1996). Ammonium has also been reported as an end product from nitrite reduction (Rhaman *et al.*, 1997; Kielemoes *et al.*, 2000; Alowitz and Scherer, 2002), and interestingly, Hu and co-workers recently reported N₂ gas as a primary endproduct from abiotic nitrite reduction by Fe⁰ (Hu *et al.*, 2001). The formation of nitrite as an intermediate product from nitrate reduction means that the accumulation of nitrite may be controlled by the relative kinetics of nitrate and nitrite reduction.

For example, solution pH has been shown to affect the kinetics of both nitrate and nitrite reduction by Fe⁰. A pH values relevant to natural systems and Fe⁰ PRBs (i.e., pH 6.5 to 9.0), slower rates of nitrate and nitrite reduction have generally been observed at higher pH values (Hu et al., 2001; Alowitz and Scherer, 2002; Miehr et al., 2003; Westerhoff, 2003). Of the limited data available with which to compare nitrite and nitrate reduction rates, it appears that nitrite is reduced faster than nitrate at pH values less than 8.0 (Rahman et al., 1997; Alowitz and Scherer, 2002). Above a pH of 8.0, similar rates of nitrate and nitrite reduction are observed, suggesting that differences in pH values may explain why nitrite appears as an intermediate in some studies and not in others. Other factors that may control the relative rates of nitrate and nitrite reduction include mass transport and the presence of denitrifying bacteria. Indeed, the addition of autotrophic (hydrogenotrophic) denitrifiers was found to increase nitrate removal rates in Fe⁰ PRBs and offer an alternative pathway that improves the end product distribution, favoring N₂ over abiotically produced NH₄⁺ (Till et al., 1998; Dejournett and Alverez, 2000). The recent observation that indigenous microorganisms can colonize Fe⁰ PRBs (Alvarez et al., 1999; < Phillips et al., 2000), presumably to feed on water-derived *4* H_2 that is produced during Fe^0 corrosion:

$$Fe^{0} + 2H_{2}O \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2OH^{-} + H_{2}$$
(1)

suggests denitrifying bacteria may influence the relative rates of nitrate and nitrite reduction.

To evaluate how mass transport, temperature, pH, and denitrifying micro-organisms affect the relative rates of

nitrate and nitrite reduction by Fe^0 , we measured nitrate and nitrite reduction over a range of electrode rotation rates, solution pH values, and temperatures. The effect of rotation rate was studied at a polished Fe^0 rotating disk electrode (RDE) in an electrochemical cell, and the effect of temperature and solution pH was studied in batch reactors with granular Fe^0 in the absence and presence of *Paracoccus denitrificans*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Electrochemical experiments

Experiments were carried out in a custom threeelectrode glass cell, which has been described previously (Scherer *et al.*, 1997, 2001). The cell consisted of an Fe^{0} RDE working electrode (geometric surface area = 0.071cm²), a double-junction saturated calomel reference electrode, a Pt mesh counter electrode, and a pH 8.4 borate buffer electrolyte. Pretreatment of the electrode has been described previously (Scherer et al., 1997, 2001). Following pretreatment, nitrate or nitrite was introduced into the cell by injection of a known volume of deaerated aqueous stock solution through a septum. Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) were obtained with and without nitrate or nitrite with a potentiostat (EG&G Model 283A). All LSVs were obtained by scanning anodically at a scan rate of 0.2 mV s⁻¹. Initial experiments at slower scan rates verified that 0.2 mV s⁻¹ provided sufficient time for steady-state conditions to develop. Potentials are reported relative to the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE), and currents are reported in accord with IUPAC convention (anodic current is positive and cathodic current is negative).

Batch experiments with Fe^0 fillings

Batch reactors were used to investigate the effect of temperature on the kinetics of nitrate and nitrite reduction by Fe⁰ in the presence and absence of autotrophic denitrifying bacteria. Reactors were 120-mL bottles capped with an aluminum crimp top and butyl rubber septum. Abiotic reactors were filled with 100 mL of buffered mineral medium with 10 mg/L as N nitrate or nitrite and 7 g untreated, and sieved (between 0.5 and 1 mm) Master Builders (Cleveland, OH) iron filings. The BET specific surface area of the sieved iron filings was $1.06 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Biotic reactors were filled with 80 mL of buffered mineral medium and 20 mL of a stock culture of P. denitrificans (ATCC 17741, optical density at 600 mm = 1.25). Reactors were assembled in an anaerobic chamber where medium was added first, followed by microbes and then Fe⁰. Reactors were sealed and crimped in the anaerobic

F1

chamber before being brought outside. Reactors were mixed by rotating end over end. All reactor headspace was purged with a H_2/CO_2 gas mixture (80:20 v/v) following inoculation to ensure anaerobic condition and to provide a carbon source for the microbes used in the biotic systems. Abiotic reactors were run in duplicate and biotic reactors were run in triplicate.

Chemical and microbial analysis

Nitrate and nitrite were analyzed using a Dionex (Sunnyvale, CA) BioLC ion chromatograph. Separation was achieved by ion exchange (Dionex AS4A column with 2.2 mM carbonate and 0.74 mM bicarbonate eluant) with chemical suppression (25 mN H₂SO₄) and conductivity detection. The method detection limit was approximately 0.5 mg/L for nitrate and nitrite as N. Ammonium was measured using a Dionex DX-100 ion chromatograph, fitted with a Dionex Ionpac CS12A column and a Dionex CSRS-Ultra 4 mm suppressor. The eluant used was a 22 mN H₂SO₄ solution. The eluant also contained 1 mM oxalic acid to prevent the adsorption of ferrous iron to the column. Eluant was pumped through the system at 1 mL min⁻¹. Optical density was used to determine the biomass concentration in stock cultures of P. denitrificans. The absorbance was measured of a sample in a 1-mL plastic cuvette at a wavelength of 600 nm using a Spectronic Genesys 5 Spectrophotometer (Rochester, NY). Solution pH was measured in the electrochemical cell with an Orion pH electrode, whereas pH measurements for the batch reactors were made with colorpHast pH strips with a detection range of pH 6.5 to 10 ± 0.03 .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nitrite and nitrate reduction at an Fe^0 RDE

The cathodic current measured at an Fe⁰ RDE (j_{net} , A cm⁻²) was larger in the presence of nitrate and nitrite compared to borate buffer alone (Fig. 1). Larger cathodic currents in the presence of nitrate and nitrite are consistent with our expectation that these compounds are acting as oxidants. Nitrite increases the cathodic current about 10 times more than the addition of an equivalent amount of nitrate (about 46 mM), suggesting that the rate of nitrate reduction (even assuming likely differences in the number of electrons transferred).

To estimate the number of electrons transferred and confirm that the increased cathodic currents observed in Fig. 1 are due to the reduction of nitrite and nitrate by the Fe^0 RDE, the concentrations of reaction products in the cell solution were compared to those expected from



Figure 1. Linear sweep voltammograms of nitrate and nitrite reduction by an oxide-free iron RDE. Experiments performed in deoxygenated borate buffer at pH 8.4 using a scan rate of 0.2 mV s^{-1} . Nitrate experiment performed at 3,600 rpm, nitrite at 8,100 rpm. Duplicate experiments yielded reproducible results.

the total cathodic charge passed (q, C). From Faraday's law, the amount of product (N, mol) is related to the amount of charge (q, C) by N = q/nF (Bard and Faulkner, 2001). For nitrate reduction, the rate of reaction was too slow to accumulate enough products to detect over a 48-h time period. For nitrite reduction, the only product observed was ammonium. The amount of ammonium measured in the cell (0.121 mmol) accounted for 107% of the total cathodic charge $(q_{\text{tot}} = 65.6 \text{ C})$ in a long-term electrolysis experiment (24 h with $E_{\text{appl}} = -0.64 \text{ V}, n = 6$, and initial [NO₂⁻] = 100 mM). The reasonable agreement between cathodic charge and ammonium is the primary product of nitrite electrolysis at the Fe⁰ electrode.

To evaluate the influence of mass transport on the kinetics of nitrate and nitrite reduction at an Fe⁰ RDE, we measured currents at different electrode rotation rates. An applied potential of -0.64 V was selected to minimize the formation of an oxide layer on the Fe⁰ electrode (Scherer et al., 1997). Electrode rotation rate had little effect on the current measured in the presence of 135 mM nitrate (Fig. 2). The negligible change in current observed as the rotation rate increased from 400 to 8100 rpm indicates that the rate of nitrate reduction was limited by reaction at the Fe⁰ electrode surface, rather than mass transport to the surface. Compared to our previous work with carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) (Scherer et al., 1997) and nitrobenzene (ArNO₂) (Scherer et al., 2001), significantly higher concentrations of nitrate were required to achieve a current that could be reproducibly distinguished from the background current (due to Fe^0 oxidation and water reduction). The large concentration required to observe a current due to nitrate reduction suggests that the kinetics of nitrate reduction at the Fe^0 RDE are slower than CCl₄ and ArNO₂ reduction even considering differences in number of electrons transferred.

Currents measured in the presence of nitrite were significantly larger than those measured in the presence of nitrate despite the larger concentration of nitrate (Fig. 2). As expected, higher nitrite concentration results in increased currents. Unlike nitrate, however, electrode rotation rate strongly influenced the current measured in the presence of nitrite. Changes in current were observed even at the highest rotation rates (6,400 and 8,100 rpm), indicating that nitrite is reduced at the electrode surface faster than it is transported to the surface (Levich, 1962). The reproducibility of currents as the rotation rate is stepped from 400 to 8,100 rpm and then back down again is another indication that the reduction of nitrite at the Fe⁰ electrode is limited by a physical diffusion step rather than a chemical reaction step.

For a first-order process, currents measured at the RDE are proportional to the first-order rate coefficient (i.e., j = nFkC) and can be approximated by the Koutecky Levich equation (Bard and Faulkner, 1980):

$$\frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{j_{rxn}} + \frac{1}{0.62 \text{ nFD}^{2/3} \omega^{1/2} \nu^{-1/6} C}$$
(2)

where *j* is the current at the electrode, j_{rxn} is the current due to chemical reaction at the electrode, *D* is the mo-

F2



Figure 2. Time traces for constant potential reduction of NO_3^- and NO_2^- at an Fe⁰ RDE with stepwise variation in rotation rate. Current densities at -640 mV, rotation rate from 400 to 8,100 rpm and back down for (a) 30 mM, (b) 50 mM, (c) 80 mM, (d) 100 mM NO₂ in pH 8.4 borate buffer. Current densities at -640 mV, rotation rate stepped from 600 to 3,600 rpm and back down for 135 mM NO₃ in pH 8.4 borate buffer (dashed line).

lecular diffusion coefficient, ν is the kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte solution, ω is the angular velocity of the rotating disk ($\omega = 2\pi f$, where f = rpm/60, rotation rate in s^{-1}), and C is the aqueous bulk concentration. For a reaction that is mass transport limited, a plot of 1/i vs. 1/rpm^{1/2} (known as a Koutecky Levich plot) should be linear [Equation (2)] with the slope proportional to $D^{2/3}$ (Bard and Faulkner, 2001). For reduction of nitrite at the Fe⁰ RDE, linear relationships between 1/j and $1/rpm^{1/2}$ are observed for nitrite concentrations ranging from 30 to 100 mM (Fig. 3). Regression of the linear regions results in slopes within about 20% for the three higher concentrations (4.2 \pm 0.7 rpm^{1/2} mA⁻¹) and within about 40% for all four concentrations (5.0 \pm 1.8 rpm^{1/2} mA⁻¹). The linearity of the plot and reasonable agreement among the slopes confirms that diffusion to the electrode surface limits the amount of current measured from nitrite reduction at the electrode. Consistent Koutecky-Levich slopes also provide additional reassurance that the migrational component of the current is negligible. Extrapolation of the linear regions to high rotation rates (i.e., the y-intercept where $rpm^{-1/2} = 0$) yields an estimate of the current in the absence of mass transfer effects. For nitrite reduction, extrapolating to $rpm^{-1/2} = 0$ results in a positive y-intercepts for each of the four concentrations studied. The inverse of the y-intercept represents the cur-

F3

rent that would flow if mass transfer were sufficiently fast to keep the concentration of nitrite at the electrode surface equal to the bulk nitrite concentration (i.e., j_{rxn} , the reaction limited current). The reaction limited currents for nitrite reduction are proportional to the nitrite concentration and indicates that nitrite reduction at the Fe⁰ RDE is a first-order process (Fig. 3 inset).

Comparison of Fe^0 RDE and batch reactors containing granular Fe^0

The overall rate of reduction by Fe^0 can be represented as a series of resistances due to mass transport and reaction (Scherer *et al.*, 1997, 2001; Arnold *et al.*, 1999; Nam and Tratnyek, 2000):

$$\frac{1}{k_{SA}} = \frac{1}{k_{rxn}} + \frac{1}{k_{mt}}$$
 (3)

where k_{SA} is the overall surface area-normalized rate coefficient (m s⁻¹), k_{mt} is the mass transport coefficient (m s⁻¹), and k_{rxn} is the first-order heterogeneous reaction rate coefficient (m s⁻¹). Since rates of reduction by Fe⁰ vary considerably over the range of treatable contaminants, it is possible that there is a continuum of kinetic regimes from purely reaction controlled (i.e., $k_{rxn} < k_{mt}$ and thus $k_{SA} \approx k_{rxn}$), to intermediate (i.e., $k_{rxn} \approx k_{mt}$), to purely mass transport controlled (i.e., $k_{rxn} < k_{rxn}$ and thus

ENVIRON ENG SCI, VOL. 21, NO. 2, 2004



Figure 3. Koutecky-Levich plot for the reduction of nitrite at an Fe⁰ RDE. Steady-state current densities measured at -640 mV for nitrite concentrations of 30, 50, 80, 100 mM in pH 8.4 borate buffer. Inset: reaction limited current densities at E = -640 mV as a function of nitrite concentration.

 $k_{SA} \approx k_{mt}$) (Scherer *et al.*, 2001). Previously reported k_{SA} s of 10^{-9} m s⁻¹ for nitrite reduction in batch reactors (Rahman *et al.*, 1997; Kielemoes *et al.*, 2000; Alowitz and Scherer, 2002) containing granular Fe⁰ metal are significantly smaller than the k_{mt} of $\approx 10^{-4}$ m s⁻¹ estimated for granular Fe⁰ in batch reactors. The k_{mt} value was estimated based on correlations of common dimensionless groups. A similar value of k_{mt} was estimated for suspended Zn metal particles in longitudinally rotated batch reactors (Arnold *et al.*, 1999). The smaller k_{SA} , for nitrite reduction compared to the k_{mt} estimated for particles in batch reactors is limited by a reaction step rather than a diffusion process, and that $k_{SA} \approx k_{rxn}$.

The same argument can be applied to nitrite reduction at the Fe⁰ RDE. The value of k_{mt} at an RDE is of similar magnitude to the k_{mt} estimated for batch reactors $(k_{mt-RDE} = 10^{-5} \text{ to } 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-1} \text{ with } D_{NO2} = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and rpm ranging from 100 to 10,000 rpm). Given the slow rates of nitrite reduction observed in batch reactors with granular Fe⁰, we expected that there would be little effect of mass transfer on nitrite reduction at the Fe⁰ RDE. Figures 2 and 3, however, show a clear effect of mass transfer on nitrite reduction currents, implying that k_{rxn} for nitrite reduction at the RDE must be greater than or comparable to the k_{mt} for the RDE. This provides an estimated lower limit of 10^{-5} to 10^{-4} m s⁻¹ for k_{rxn} at the RDE, which is several orders of magnitude larger than k_{rxn} for nitrite reduction by granular Fe⁰ metal $(k_{rxn} \approx k_{SA} \approx 10^{-9} \text{ m s}^{-1})$.

The larger values of k_{rxn} measured for nitrite reduction at an Fe⁰ RDE compared to k_{SA} 's observed in batch reactors with granular Fe⁰ is consistent with our previous work with CCl₄ and ArNO₂ (Scherer et al., 1997, 2001). The magnitude of the increase, however, is significantly greater for nitrite reduction. One explanation may be that it is more appropriate to compare k_{mt} estimates with geometric surface area normalized rate coefficients, rather than BET surface area normalized rate coefficients (Arnold et al., 1999). The larger surface areas expected from BET measurements compared to geometric estimates (particularly for Fe⁰ particles that contain a layer of oxide corrosion products) would underestimate k_{SA} . Indeed, a 25-fold increase in a geometric k_{SA} relative to a BET k_{SA} for reduction of chlorinated methanes by granular zinc metal was reported by Arnold et al. (1999). It seems unlikely that surface area estimates alone could account for the large discrepancy observed between nitrite reduction rates in batch reactors and at the RDE. Although it seems plausible that the 10- and 50-fold differences observed for CCl₄ and ArNO₂ can be attributed to differences in mass transport in batch experiments and/or the absence of an oxide layer that is typically present on granular Fe⁰, the larger discrepancy observed for nitrite suggests that there is a fundamental difference in the reaction occurring on the Fe⁰ RDE compared to on an oxide-coated granular Fe⁰. For example, it was recently suggested that both indirect reduction by H₂ (formed from corrosion of Fe⁰), as well as direct reduction by Fe⁰ were important in nitrite reduction by Fe⁰ in the presence of oxygen (Hu et al., 2001). Alternatively, the difference may be due to the ionic nature of nitrite, which will significantly alter the interaction with both oxide surfaces and electrodes.

Effect of temperature on rates of NO_3^- and NO_2^- reduction by granular Fe^0

Given the potential difference in reaction mechanism for nitrite reduction at a bare Fe⁰ electrode and oxidecoated granular Fe⁰, we conducted additional experiments to evaluate mass transfer limitations by measuring the effect of temperature on both nitrate and nitrite removal in batch reactors with granular Fe⁰. Nitrite was rapidly removed in the presence of 7 g L^{-1} of Fe⁰ over a temperature range of 5 to 50°C (Fig. 4). Nitrite removal was more rapid at higher temperatures, and appeared first order at early times. Most often, ammonium has been reported as the major product from nitrite reduction by Fe⁰ (Rahman et al., 1997; Kielemoes et al., 2000), but recently nitrogen gas has also been observed in significant quantities (Hu et al., 2001). In our electrochemical experiments, we observed ammonium as the major end product, but in the batch reactors, the high ferrous iron



Figure 4. Effect of temperature on nitrite removal by granular Fe^0 . Reactors contained 7 g/L Master Builders Fe^0 , and buffered mineral medium. Reactors were run in duplicate. Solid lines are the regression results for a first-order kinetic model applied to early time points (those for which the solution pH was less than 8.5).

concentration made it difficult to accurately quantify ammonium concentrations, and we did not analyze for $N_2(g)$. At the higher temperatures, the solution pH increased above 8.5 at later times and inhibited the rate of nitrite removal. The significantly slower rates observed at high pH values are consistent with previous work evaluating the effect of pH on nitrite reduction by granular Fe⁰ (Alowitz and Scherer, 2002). Nitrate followed a similar trend with faster removal rates generally observed at higher temperatures (Table 1). Nitrite was not observed as an intermediate from nitrate reduction.

Rates of contaminant reduction by Fe^0 measured in batch experiments have been shown to exhibit a temperature dependency consistent with the Arrhenius equation (Sivavec and Horney, 1995; Scherer *et al.*, 1997; Deng *et al.*, 1999):

T1

$$k = A \exp\left(-E_A/RT\right) \tag{4}$$

where E_A is the activation energy (kJ mol⁻¹), A is the preexponential factor (same units as k), and R is the gas

constant (8.314 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹). A linearized form of the Arrhenius equation can be obtained by taking the natural logarithm of both sides of Equation (4):

$$\ln k = \ln A - E_A / RT \tag{5}$$

The linear relationships observed for plots of $\ln k$ vs 1/Tindicates that the temperature dependency of nitrate and nitrite reduction by Fe⁰ metal is consistent with the Arrhenius equation (Fig. 5). The slope and intercept of the linear regression lines in Fig. 5 can be used to estimate both an E_A and A for nitrate and nitrite reduction (slope = $-E_A/R$ and y-intercept = ln A). Similar activation energies are computed for nitrate ($E_A = 21.7 \pm 9.4$ kJ mol⁻¹) and nitrite ($E_A = 23.9 \pm 1.8$ kJ mol⁻¹) suggesting a similar temperature dependency for the two compounds. The nitrate value of 21.7 kJ mol⁻¹ is remarkably similar to the value of 22 kJ mol⁻¹ (based on measurements at 8 and 28°C) previously reported for nitrate reduction by Master Builders' Fe⁰ (Westerhoff, 2003). The values in

Table 1. Effect of temperature and microbes on k_{obs} (h⁻¹) for NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ reduction by granular Fe^{0a}.

	5°C	25°C	35°C	50°C	$E_A (kJ mol^{-1})$	ln A
$\overline{\text{Fe}^0 + \text{NO}_2^-}$	0.081 ± 0.007	0.140 ± 0.010	0.250 ± 0.012	0.334 ± 0.051	23.9 ± 1.8	7.77 ± 0.73
$Fe^0 + NO_3^-$	0.011 ± 0.002	0.01 ± 0.001	0.020 ± 0.001	0.045 ± 0.002	21.7 ± 9.4	4.64 ± 3.76
$Fe^0 + NO_3^- + P.$ denitrif.	0.025 ± 0.002	0.101 ± 0.007	0.146 ± 0.003	0.192 ± 0.018	NA	NA
$k_{\rm NO3}/k_{\rm NO3}$ + P. denitrif.	2.3	9.2	7.3	4.3	NA	NA

^aBatch reactors contained 7 g Master Builder iron filings (not acid washed) in buffered mineral medium containing either 0.7 mM NO_3^- or NO_2^- . All batch reactors were incubated in the dark while continuously rotated. Standard deviations based on triplicate reactors. NA = not applicable. Initial pH of the reactor solutions was near neutral.

F5



Figure 5. Arrhenius plots for reduction of nitrate and nitrite by granular Fe⁰ in batch reactors. Experimental conditions and k_{obs} values (in h⁻¹) are the same as those given in Table 1.

Table 1 can be used with Equation(5) to estimate k_{obs} values of 0.11 and 0.012 h⁻¹ for nitrite and nitrate reduction at a typical groundwater temperature of 15°C.

The activation energy is useful not only for estimating rate coefficients at other temperatures, but it also provides some insight into the rate-limiting step. The activation energies of both nitrate and nitrite are lower than the activation energies reported for the reduction of other contaminants by Fe^0 , which range from about 35 to 55 kJ mol⁻¹ (Tratnyek and Scherer, 2003). Activation energies greater than 80 kJ mol⁻¹ are considered typical of chemical reaction steps, such as bond breaking, whereas, activation energies less than 20 kJ mol⁻¹ are indicative of diffusion controlled reactions (Lasaga and Kirkpatrick, 1983). With values slightly above 20 kJ mol⁻¹, the activation energies for the reduction of nitrate and nitrite by granular Fe^0 fall at the high end of the diffusion limited range, as is commonly observed for heterogeneous reactions.

Effect of P. denitrificans on rates of $NO_3^$ reduction by granular Fe^0

To assess the potential of autotrophic denitrifiers to enhance the performance of Fe⁰ PRBs over a broad range of temperatures, nitrate removal was compared in batch reactors amended with Fe⁰ alone or with *P. denitrificans*. Nitrate removal followed first-order kinetics and bioaugmentation of Fe⁰ with *P. denitrificans* resulted in higher rate coefficients (k_{obs}) compared to treatments with Fe⁰ alone at all temperatures tested (5 to 50°C) (Table 1). The

pH also increased more rapidly with increasing temperature (data not shown). When the pH rose above 8.5, nitrate reduction by Fe^0 became slower and deviated from first-order kinetics, consistent with previous observations (Alowitz and Scherer, 2002). Therefore, data with pH values greater than 8.5 were excluded from the determination of first-order rate coefficients.

Whereas nitrate removal rates increased with temperature for both treatments (Table 1), the effect of bioaugmentation was most pronounced at 25°C (i.e., an almost 10-fold increase in nitrate reduction rate was observed), which is close to the reported optimum growth temperature of 26°C (American Type Culture Collection, 2003) for this strain (Table 1). Presumably, *P. denitrificans* participated in nitrate removal by using H₂ as electron to denitrify over a wide range of temperatures (up to 50°C); although experiments with *P. denitrificans* alone suggest that an adaptation period of a few days may be required for this strain to perform at 5°C (data not shown).

Overall, these results corroborate the notion that inoculating Fe^0 PRBs with autotrophic denitrifiers could enhance nitrate removal efficiency, although the establishment of a microbial population could require provision of sufficient buffering capacity to preclude an inhibitory corrosion-induced increase in pH [Equation (1)]. Sufficient buffering capacity may be achieved by incorporating solid buffers within the Fe⁰ PRB, such as acidic aluminosilicate minerals (Dejournett and Alvarez, 2000). No nitrite accumulation was observed in this experiment, which precludes corroborating the potential for bacteria to reduce the accumulation of this undesirable intermediate of nitrate reduction, as reported for iron column experiments (Dejournett and Alvarez, 2000).

Relative rates of NO_3^- *and* NO_2^- *reduction* (*i.e.*, $k_{NO_2^-}/k_{NO_3^-}$)

Nitrite removal rates measured in both batch reactors and the electrochemical cell ranged from 1.5 to 15 times faster than those measured for nitrate, depending on the electrode rotation rate, pH, temperature, and microbial activity (Figs. 2 and 6, and Table 1). The trend of faster nitrite reduction kinetics is consistent with previous reports of nitrite and nitrate reduction (Rahman et al., 1997; Alowitz and Scherer, 2002). As expected, based on the similar activation energies measured for nitrite and nitrate, temperature had only a modest effect on the relative rates of nitrite and nitrate reduction $(k_{NO_2} - /k_{NO_3} - k_{NO_3} - k_{NO_3$ ranged from about 8 to almost 14). In contrast, solution pH had a significant effect on $k_{NO_2} - k_{NO_3}$ with an almost sevenfold change observed over a pH range of 5.5 to 8.5. The highest $k_{\rm NO_2-}/k_{\rm NO_3-}$ ratio was observed at pH 6.5 to 7 with much smaller ratios observed at the higher pH values (pH > 7.5) typical of Fe reactive bar-



Figure 6. Effect of k_{NO_2}/k_{NO_3} ratio on nitrite appearance (solid lines) predicted by a first-order kinetic model for sequential reduction of nitrate to nitrite to ammonium. k_{NO_2}/k_{NO_3} ratios are given in labels on line. For reference, the disappearance of nitrate and appearance of nitrite and ammonium for $k_{NO_2}/k_{NO_3} = 1$ are shown as dashed lines.

riers. Previous work showed that nitrate and nitrite have a similar sensitivity to pH with an almost 100-fold decrease in k_{obs} over a pH range of 5.5 to 9.0 (Alowitz and Sherer, 2002). Biological denitrification of nitrate through nitrite to dinitrogen gas, on the other hand, appears to be differentially sensitive to pH. As pH increases beyond 8.5, nitrite reduction generally slows down to a greater extent than nitrate reduction, causing nitrite accumulation (Thomsen *et al.*, 1994; Glass and Silverstein, 1998).

Results from a simple first-order kinetic model based on sequential reduction of nitrate \rightarrow nitrite \rightarrow ammonium with no mass loss [Equation (6) through (9)] suggest that a similar explanation based on differences in the relative rates of nitrate and nitrite reduction may explain why nitrite appears as an intermediate product from nitrate reduction in some studies with granular Fe⁰ (Siantar *et al.*, 1996; Rahman *et al.*, 1997) and not in others (Alowitz and Scherer, 2002; Westerhoff, 2003; Westerhoff and James, 2003).

$$NO_3^- \xrightarrow{k_{NO3}} NO_2^- \xrightarrow{k_{NO2}} NH_4^+$$
 (6)

$$\frac{d[\mathrm{NO}_3^-]}{dt} = -k_{\mathrm{NO3}}[\mathrm{NO}_3^-] \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{d[\text{NO}_2^{-}]}{dt} = k_{\text{NO3}}[\text{NO}_3^{-}] - k_{\text{NO3}}[\text{NO}_2^{-}] \qquad (8)$$

$$\frac{d[\mathrm{NH}_4^+]}{dt} = k_{\mathrm{NO2}}[\mathrm{NO_2}^-]$$
(9)

For ratios of $k_{\rm NO_2}$ -/ $k_{\rm NO_3-}$ approaching 1, such as those observed at high pH values, the sequential reduction model predicts nitrite will be observed as a significant intermediate product (Fig. 6). As the ratio of $k_{\rm NO_2-}/k_{\rm NO_3-}$ increases, less nitrite is predicted to accumulate,



Figure 7. Effect of pH and temperature on ratio of nitrite and nitrate first-order rate coefficients measured in batch reactors. Temperature data are given in Table 1 and pH data are taken from Alowitz and Scherrer (Alowitz and Scherer, 2002). The temperature data was collected with pH values less than 8.5 and the pH data was collected at room temperature (about 22°C).

ENVIRON ENG SCI, VOL. 21, NO. 2, 2004

F6

F7

and by the time $k_{\rm NO_2}$ -/ $k_{\rm NO_3-}$ reaches 10, negligible nitrite is predicted to appear. Given the wide range of sensitivity of $k_{\rm NO_2-}/k_{\rm NO_3-}$ ratios observed within this study (Fig. 7), it seems reasonable that whether or not nitrite appears as an intermediate is simply due to the relative kinetics of nitrate and nitrite reduction.

CONCLUSIONS

A promising alternative treatment technology for nitrate removal is reduction by iron metal (Fe^0) in permeable reactive barriers (PRBs). The appearance of nitrite as an intermediate product from nitrate reduction, however, is of significant concern because of negative health effects associated with nitrite. Our results show that:

- Based on a comparison of estimated mass transfer coefficients and reduction rates observed in batch reactors, it is unlikely that mass transfer will be important for nitrate and nitrite reduction in Fe⁰ PRBs.
- Rates of nitrate and nitrite reduction by Fe⁰ have similar temperature dependencies.
- Denitrifying bacteria enhance nitrate removal efficiency in the presence of Fe⁰ over a wide range of temperatures.
- 4. Under most conditions, the reduction of nitrite by Fe^0 is faster than the reduction of nitrate. At high pH values, however, nitrite reduction is only slightly faster than nitrate reduction, and may result in nitrite appearing as an intermediate product from nitrate reduction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Johna Leddy (Chemistry Dept., University of Iowa) for her helpful comments on an early version of this manuscript. Support for this work was generously provided by the National Science Foundation under Grant BES-9983719 and the Iowa State Water Resources Research Institute (ISWRRI).

REFERENCES

- ALOWITZ, M.J., and SCHERER, M.M. (2002). Kinetics of nitrate, nitrite, and Cr(VI) reduction by iron metal. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 36, 299.
- AMERICAN TYPE CULTURE COLLECTION (ATCC). (2003). http://www.atcc.org.
- ARNOLD, W.A., BALL, W.P., and ROBERTS, A.L. (1999). Polychlorinated ethane reaction with zero-valent zinc: pathways and rate control. J. Contam. Hydrol. 40(2), 183.
- BARD, A.J., and FAULKNER, L.R. (1980). *Electrochemical Methods*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- BARD, A.J., and FAULKNER, L.R. (2001). *Electrochemical Methods. Fundamentals and Applications.* New York: Wiley.
- CHENG, I.F., MUFTIKIAN, R., FERNANDO, Q., and KORTE, N. (1997). Reduction of nitrate to ammonia by zero-valent iron. *Chemosphere*. **35**(11), 2689.
- DENG, B., BURRIS, D.R., and CAMPBELL, T.J. (1999). Reduction of vinyl chloride in metallic iron–water systems. *En*viron. Sci. Technol. 33(15), 2651.
- DEJOURNETT, T., and ALVAREZ, P.J.J. (2000). Combined microbial-Fe(0) treatment system to remove nitrate from contaminated groundwater. *Bioremediat. J.* 4(2), 149.
- DEVLIN, J.F., EEDY, R., and BUTLER, B.J. (2000). The effects of electron donor and granular iron on nitrate transformation rates in sediments from a municipal water supply aquifer. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **46**(1–2), 81.
- FAN, A.M., and STEINBERG, V.E. (1996). Health implications of nitrate and nitrite in drinking water: An update on methemoglobinemia occurrence and reproductive and developmental toxicity. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 23(1), 35.
- FARRELL, J., KASON, M., MELITAS, N., and LI, T. (2000). Investigation of the long-term performance of zero-valent iron for reductive dechlorination of trichloroethylene. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34(3), 514.
- GLASS, C., and SILVERSTEIN, J. (1998). Denitrification kinetics of high nitrate concentration water: pH effect on inhibition and nitrite accumulation. *Water Res.* 32(3), 831.
- HU, H.-Y., GOTO, N., and FUJIE, K. (2001). Effect of pH on the reduction of nitrite in water by metallic iron. *Water Res.* 35(11), 2789.
- HUANG, C.P., WANG, H.W., and CHIU, P.C. (1998). Nitrate reduction by metallic iron. *Water Res.* **32**(8), 2257.
- HUANG, Y.H., and ZHANG, T.C. (2002). Kinetics of nitrate reduction by Iron at near neutral pH. *J. Environ. Eng. ASCE*, **July**, 604.
- KAPOOR, A., and VIRARAGHAVAN, T. (1997). Nitrate removal from drinking water—Review. J. Environ. Eng. ASCE 123(4), 371.
- KIELEMOES, J., DE BOEVER, P., and VERSTRAETE, W. (2000). Influence of denitrification on the corrosion of iron and stainless steel powder. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34(4), 663.
- LASAGA, A.C., and KIRKPATRICK, R.J. (1983). Kinetics of geochemical processes. In P.H. Ribbe, Ed., *Reviews in Mineralogy*, Washington, DC: Mineralogical Society of America, p. 1.
- LEVICH, V.G. (1962). Physicochemical Hydrodynamics. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- MIEHR, R., TRATNYEK, P.G., BANDSTRA, J.Z., SCHERER, M.M., ALOWITZ, M., and BYLASKA, E.J. (2003). The diversity of contaminant reduction reactions by zero-valent iron: Role of the reductate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*

AU3

NITRATE AND NITRITE REDUCTION BY Fe⁰

- NAM, S., and TRATNYEK, P.G. (2000). Reduction of azo dyes with zero-valent iron. *Water Res.* **34**(6), 1837.
- NOLAN, B.T., and STONER, J.D. (2000). Nutrients in groundwaters of the conterminous United States, 1992–1995. *En*viron. Sci. Technol. 34(7), 1156.
- NOLAN, B.T., HITT, K.J., and RUDDY, B.C. (2002). Probability of nitrate contamination of recently recharged groundwaters in the conterminous United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **36**(10), 2138.
- POWELL, R.M., PULS, R.W., BLOWES, D.W., VOGAN, J.L., GILLHAM, R.W., POWELL, P.D., SCHULTZ, D., LANDIS, R., and SIVAVEC, T. (1998). Permeable reactive barrier technologies for contaminant remediation. *EPA*/ 600/R-98/125, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- AU4 RHAMAN, A., and AGRAWAL, A. Reduction of nitrate and nitrite by iron metal: Implications for groundwater remediation. 213th National Meeting, San Francisco, CA, 1999, p. 157.
 - RHAMAN, A., and AGRAWAL, A. Reduction of nitrate and nitrite by iron metal: Implications for groundwater remediation. Extended Abstract, presented at Division of Environmental Chemistry, American Chemical Society, San Francisco, CA, p. 157.
 - SCHERER, M.M., JOHNSON, K.M., WESTALL, J.C., and TRATNYEK, P.G. (2001). Mass transport effects on the kinetics of nitrobenzene reduction by iron metal. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35(13), 2804.
 - SCHERER, M.M., RICHTER, S., VALENTINE, R.L., and AL-VAREZ, P.J.J. (2000). Chemistry and microbiology of permeable reactive barriers for in situ groundwater clean up. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **30**(3), 363.
 - SCHERER, M.M., WESTALL, J.C., ZIOMEK-MOROZ, M., and TRATNYEK, P.G. (1997). Kinetics of carbon tetrachloride reduction at an oxide-free iron electrode. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **31**(8), 2385.
 - SCHLICKER, O., EBERT, M., FRUTH, M., WEIDNER, M., WUST, W., and DAHMKE, A. (2000). Degradation of TCE with iron: The role of competing chromate and nitrate reduction. *Ground Water* **38**(3), 403.
 - SIANTAR, D.P., SCHREIER, C.G., CHOU, C.-S., and REIN-HARD, M. (1996). Treatment of 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane and nitrate-contaminated water with zero-valent

iron or hydrogen/palladium catalysts. *Water Res.* **30**(10), 2315.

- SIVAVEC, T.M., and HORNEY, D.P. Reductive dechlorination of chlorinated ethenes by iron metal. 209th National Meeting, Anaheim, CA, 1995, p. 695.
- SQUILLACE, P.J., SCOTT, J.C., MORAN, M.J., NOLAN, B.T., and KOLPIN, D.W. (2002). VOCs, pesticides, nitrate, and their mixtures in groundwater used for drinking water in the United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **36**(9), 1923.
- SU, C., and PULS, R.W. (2001). Arsenate and arsenite removal by zerovalent iron: Kinetics, redox transformation, and implications for in situ groundwater remediation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35(7), 1487.
- THOMSEN, J.K., GEEST, T., and COX, R.P. (1994). Mass spectrometric studies of the effect of pH on the accumulation of intermediates in denitrification by *Paracoccus denitrificans. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **60**(2), 536.
- TILL, B.A., WEATHERS, L.J., and ALVAREZ, P.J.J. (1998). Fe(0)-supported autotrophic denitrification. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32(5), 634.
- TRATNYEK, P.G., SCHERER, M.M., JOHNSON, T.L., and MATHESON, L.J. (2003). *Permeable Reactive Barriers*. *Chemical Degradation Methods for Wastes and Pollutants: Environmental and Industrial Applications*, M.A. Tarr, Ed. New York: Marcel Dekker, p. 371.
- U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY. (2002). Economic analysis of the implementation of permeable reactive barriers for remediation of contaminated ground water. EPA/600/R-02/034.
- WESTERHOFF, P. (2003). Reduction of nitrate, bromate, and chlorate by zero-valent Fe(0). J. Environ. Eng. ASCE, January, 10.
- WESTERHOFF, P., and JAMES, J. (2003). Nitrate removal in zero-valent iron packed columns. *Water Res.* **37**, 1818.
- YABUSAKI, S., CANTRELL, K., SASS, B., and STEEFEL, C. (2001). Multicomponent reactive transport in an in situ zero-valent iron cell. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35(7), 1493.
- ZAWAIDEH, L.L., and ZHANG, T.C. (1998). The effects of pH and addition of an organic buffer (HEPES) on nitrate transformation in Fe-0-water systems. *Water Sci. Technol.* 38(7), 107.

GINNER

AU1 No Alvarez *et al.*, 1999 in list.

AU2 No Phillips *et al.* 2000 in list.

AU3

Please update Miehr reference.

AU4

Verify spelling Rahman or Rhaman for references, also dates of these 2 references? Is this the same reference? Same page numbers? Please see that both are cited in text.